# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

# **FISCAL NOTE**

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 2489-14

Bill No.: Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 &

2032

Subject: Operation of Motor Vehicles

Type: Original Date: June 7, 2002

# **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE FUNDS					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005		
General Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Road	(\$500 to Unknown)	(Unknown)	(Unknown)		
Highway Fund	(\$36,962)	(\$47,453)	(\$48,509)		
Spinal Cord Injury	\$0 to \$152,713	\$0 to \$253,618	\$0 to \$253,618		
Motorcycle Safety Trust	\$0 to \$486,046	\$0 to \$653,618	\$0 to \$653,618		
Office of Administration Revolving	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Various State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Head Injury*	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> State Funds	\$601,297 to (Unknown)	\$859,783 to (Unknown)	\$858,727 to (Unknown)		

<sup>\*</sup>Net of income and costs of about \$700,000 per year beginning in FY 2004

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 16 pages.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 2 of 16 June 7, 2002

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2003	FY 2004	FY 2005	
None				
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED FY 2003 FY 2004				
<b>Local Government</b>	\$199,515	\$238,420	\$238,420	

# FISCAL ANALYSIS

## **ASSUMPTION**

Fleet Management (Sections 37.450, 37.452 and 307.402)

**Oversight** notes that the Oversight Subcommittee of the Joint Committee on Legislative Research voted, on April 15, 2002, to revise the fiscal note on a similar proposal, HB 1404, to reflect the assumption that savings from fleet management would offset costs. Thus the net effect of that proposal would be zero. Oversight assumes that the ruling would apply to this proposal and will show the fiscal impact in the revised fiscal not for HB 1404 in this fiscal note.

Removal of Property from Roadways (Section 226.1115);

Officials of the **Department of Transportation** stated that they would not be able to remove property to the shoulder of a roadway without using tow trucks and if abandoned property could not be removed they might be held liable for damage to abandoned property that they would not now be able to move. They could not estimate cost for such liability.

Revisions to the Model Traffic Ordinance chapter (Sections 300.075 - 300.585);

Officials from the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol**, **Department of Transportation**, **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Office of Prosecution Services** each assume this part of the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SC for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 3 of 16 June 7, 2002

### ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to similar legislation from this year, officials from the University City Police Department, the Logan-Rogersville Fire Protection District and the Independence Police Department each assumed this part of the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

In response to similar legislation from last year, officials from the **St. Louis Metropolitan Police Department**, the **Baytown Police Department** and the **Springfield Police Department**each assumed this part of the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Requires permit drivers to display sign bearing "Permit Driver" (Section 302.130):

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)**, **Division of Motor Vehicle and Drivers Licensing** will incur costs associated with creating, printing and maintaining the required sign that will be posted on the vehicle.

142,748 Average number of permits issued annually x \$.0362 Costs for producing the required card/sticker Annual Cost

To implement this legislation, DOR will require additional funds. In the past, the programs included in this legislation have been paid for with highway funds. This year, however, highway funds may not be available for this purpose as a result of legislation enacted by the General Assembly in 2000 that limits the use of highway funds.

If highway funds are not available, then another source of funding must be identified to pay for the cost of implementing this legislation.

Officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** stated this bill requires permit drivers to display a "Permit Driver" sign while operating a motor vehicle, this sign is obtained from the Department of Revenue. DOR will implement rules for issuance of the permit sign. Based on experience with other divisions, the rules, regulations and forms issued by DOR could require as many as approximately 8 pages in the Code of State Regulations. For any given rule, roughly one-half again as many pages are published in the Missouri Register as are published in the Code because of cost statements, fiscal notes and notices that are not published in the Code. The estimated cost of a page in the Missouri Register is \$23.00. The estimated cost of a page in the Code of State Regulations is \$27.00. The actual costs could be more or less than \$492 estimated. The impact of this legislation in future years is unknown and depends upon the frequency and length of rules filed, amended, rescinded and withdrawn.

<u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 4 of 16 June 7, 2002

**Oversight** assumes SOS could absorb the costs of printing and distributing regulations related to this proposal. If multiple bills pass which require the printing and distribution of regulations at substantial cost, the SOS could request funding through the appropriation process.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** and the **Department of Public Safety** assume there will not be a fiscal impact on their agency from this part of the proposal.

Motorcycle Safety Trust Fund (Section 302.137)

Officials from the **State Courts Administrator** state that a \$1.00 surcharge on criminal and traffic cases, as well as ordinance violations would, using FY 2001 caseload analysis, yield up to \$351,809 in any given year. They also noted that court costs may only be imposed if they are reasonably related to the expense of the administration of justice.

**Oversight** assumes a one-month delay between the first fees assessed and deposited with the State Treasurer, therefore, the fiscal note reflects only nine months of revenue in FY 2003.

2003 -  $$351,809 \times .75 = $263,857$ 

2004 and 2005- \$351,809

The appropriation to the Fund has been about \$50,000 per year for the last several years and Oversight assumes that the current source of funds is about that much and will be lost due to this proposal.

Driving While Revoked (Section 302.321);

Officials from the **State Courts Administrator** stated that this provision has the potential to cause an increase in cases in counties adjoining major highways or in resort areas. They can not estimate the increase, but would request additional resources through budget decision items in there would be a significant increase in this type of case. None of the other agencies responding to this proposal indicated that this change would have fiscal impact.

Commercial Driver License (Section 302.720);

Officials of the **Department of Natural Resources** stated that the provisions concerning the hazardous materials endorsement would not affect their agency.

**Department of Revenue** officials report that the Highway Patrol completes an average of 39,672 commercial driver written examinations and 8,172 commercial skills examinations, annually. <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

The current charge is \$5 per examination. The proposal would increase that to \$25 per

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 5 of 16 June 7, 2002

examination and would create a "Third-Party Commercial Driver License Examination Program" within the Department and would authorize appropriation of Highway Fund monies for Department of Revenue and Highway Patrol expenses relate to the program. The proposal does not change the distribution of examination charges. Therefore, the effect of the additional \$20 per examination would be:

### FY 2003 (10 Months)

39,903 exams x \$20 = \$798,060

75% - Road Fund - \$598,545

15% - Cities - \$119,709

10% - Counties - \$79,806

#### FY 2004 and FY 2005

47,884 exams x \$20 = \$957,680

75% - Road Fund - \$718,260

15% - Cities - \$143,652

10% - Counties - \$95,768

**Oversight** assumes, for fiscal note purposes, that the cost of the Third-Party Commercial Driver License Program to the Department of Revenue and the Missouri State Highway Patrol would of be approximately the same as the increased income. Oversight also assumes appropriations for this function is in <u>addition</u> to other allowable appropriations to the Department and the Patrol from the State Highway and Transportation Fund.

Change in definition of Abandoned Property (Section 304.001);

None of the agencies responding to this proposal indicated that this change would have fiscal impact.

Drivers must take certain actions when emergency vehicle is approaching and obey signals of sheriff or deputy sheriff (Sections 304.022, 575.010, and 575.145);

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, **Office of the State Courts Administrator** and the **Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol** each assume this part of the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

In response to similar legislation from last year, officials from the Office of the State Public

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 6 of 16 June 7, 2002

**Defender** assumed that existing staff could provide representation for those 25-50 cases arising where indigent persons were charged with failure to stop for a law enforcement officer, and the 10-25 cases arising where indigent persons were charged with failure to change lanes when an emergency vehicle is stopped. However, passage of more than one similar bill would require the State Public Defender System to request increased appropriations to cover the cumulative cost of representing the indigent accused in the additional cases.

In response to similar legislation, officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** assumed that the cost to prosecutors is estimated not to exceed \$100,000. **Oversight** assumes prosecutors could enforce the proposal with existing resources.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state that currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offenses(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY01 average of \$3.34 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,219 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

Funding changes for the Spinal Cord Injury Fund (Section 304.027);

Officials from the **University of Missouri (UM)** state this part of the proposal deals with the spinal cord injury fund establishment, funds to be deposited into the fund, and authorizes the Curators of the University of Missouri to award funds for research in the area of spinal cord injury from appropriations from this fund. UM states they see no significant financial impact generated from this section. UM states it should be noted that there will be a greater influx of monies into this fund from the new \$2 surcharge for all violations than was received under the current statutes.

UM stated the Spinal Cord Injury Fund has received roughly \$37,000, and that the university does not have spending authority to spend out of this fund yet.

Officials from the **State Courts Administrator** state that a \$2.00 surcharge on criminal and <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

traffic cases, as well as ordinance violations would, using FY 2001 caseload analysis, yield up to \$703,618 in any given year. They also noted that court costs may only be imposed if they are

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 7 of 16 June 7, 2002

reasonably related to the expense of the administration of justice.

**Oversight** assumes a one-month delay between the first fees assessed and deposited with the State Treasurer, therefore, the fiscal note reflects only nine months of revenue in FY 2003.

In the fiscal note for Truly Agreed to and Finally Passed HB 302 and 38 from the 2001 session, **Oversight** estimated the revenue going into the Spinal Cord Injury Fund from the \$25 surcharge on alcohol related offenses to be \$0 to \$450,000 (\$375,000 in the first year). From its inception on August 28, 2001 to December 31, 2001, the fund received \$37,000. Oversight does not believe enough time has passed to change the estimated annual revenue into the fund, therefore, has reversed the estimated revenue reflected in the fiscal note for TAFP HB 302 and 38 from 2001.

*Creation of the Head Injury Fund (Section 304.028);* 

Officials from the **Department of Revenue (DOR)** state that information contained on their Missouri Driver License System (MODL) indicates an average of 130,178 state speeding convictions per year and 18,750 intoxication-related offenses entered per year. Therefore, DOR estimates an additional \$372,320 in new funding each year to the Head Injury Fund.

	130,178	State Speeding Convictions
+	<u>18,750</u>	Intoxication Related Conviction
	148,928	Total Convictions
X	\$2.50	Additional Fine for Head Injury Council per traffic conviction
	<u>\$372,320</u>	Anticipated new funding for Head Injury Fund

DOR states it should be noted that speeding convictions where the operator was driving 5 m.p.h. or less over the posted speed limit are **not** recorded on DOR's records as these convictions are not point assessable. DOR assumes the number of speeding convictions not sent to their agency due to the speed cap limit is unknown and could be significant. DOR recommends the State Courts Administrator's Office be asked for input regarding this issue.

Officials from the **State Courts Administrator** state that a \$2.00 surcharge on criminal and traffic cases, as well as ordinance violations would, using FY 2001 caseload analysis, yield up to \$703,618 in any given year. They also noted that court costs may only be imposed if they are <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

reasonably related to the expense of the administration of justice.

Oversight assumes a one-month delay between the first fees assessed and deposited with the

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 8 of 16 June 7, 2002

State Treasurer, therefore, the fiscal note reflects only nine months of revenue in FY 2003.

2003 -  $$703,618 \times 9/12 = $527,713$ 

2004 and 2005- \$703,618

Officials from the **Office of Administration - General Services Division (COA)** state this proposal would provide for a funding source for services which would be administered by the Missouri Head Injury Advisory Council, which is advisory to all agencies providing services to individuals with traumatic brain injuries and their families. The COA states the Missouri Head Injury Advisory Council staff and related expenses has been a core budget item since 1986 and is office in the Truman State Office Building. COA deferred to the revenue estimates provided by DOR and CTS. COA states that if this proposal is enacted, they would use the proceeds to contract for the services stated in the proposal.

COA states that the amount of funding generated will depend on the number of criminal or traffic offenses and the collection of the surcharge imposed on those offenses. COA states that most states have trust funds that experience variances from year to year depending on the number of fines.

Officials from the State Treasurer's Office, Department of Transportation, Department of Health and Senior Services, and the Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol each assume this part of the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

Earth Moving Equipment Permits (Section 304.200);

Officials with the **Department of Revenue (DOR), the Department of Transportation** (MoDOT), and the **Department of Economic Development (DED)** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

Transportation of Hazardous Waste (Section 304.370);

Officials of the **Department of Transportation** stated that they were aware of one tunnel which might be affected and would post signs at either end of the tunnel at a cost of \$500 to the State Road Fund.

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 9 of 16 June 7, 2002

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

Electric Personal Assistive Mobility Devices (Sections 307.205 to 307.213)

Officials from the **Missouri Department of Revenue** (DOR)-Division of Motor Vehicle and Drivers Licensing assume mobility device meets the definition of motor vehicle and could technically be subject to titling and registration requirements. However, DOR assumes even if these devices are required to be titled and registered, the department anticipates the number of these types of vehicles to be minimal and no additional funds will be requested through appropriations.

Officials from the **Department of Transportation** (DHT) assume the proposal allows restricted vehicles to operate on any road in the state. The speed differential could cause issues with the motoring public. As with bicycles, DHT will then be required to provide safe travel routes for vehicles that do not contribute to the Highway Trust Fund.

**Oversight** assumes cities and counties could use their authority to impose additional restrictions on these devices, if they choose, to avoid the same types of costs as the Department of Transportation to provide safe travel routes.

Expanding the crime of resisting or interfering with an arrest, (Section 575.150);

Officials from the **State Courts Administrator** stated this part of the proposal would not cause enough new cases to fiscally impact their agency.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, the **Office of the State Public Defender (SPD)** has assumed that existing staff could provide representation for those cases arising where indigent persons were charged with the expanded definition of resisting or interfering with arrest. Last fiscal year, the State Public Defender System provided representation in 463 such cases. This legislation increases the penalty to a Class D felony from a Class C misdemeanor. Passage of more than one bill increasing penalize on existing crimes or creating new crimes would require the State Public Defender System to request increased appropriations to cover the cumulative cost of representing indigent persons accused in the now more serious cases or in the new additional cases.

In response to similar legislation, the **Office of Prosecution Services** officials assumed prosecutors could absorb the additional costs resulting from this part of the proposal.

Page 10 of 16 June 7, 2002

# ASSUMPTION (continued)

Skill Performance Evaluation Certificate (Section 622.555);

Officials of the **Department of Economic Development's Division of Motor Carriers and Railway Safety** stated that they would request an Investigator II to carry out provision of this proposal.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2003 (10 Mo.)	FY 2004	FY 2005
GENERAL REVENUE FUND	, ,		
Revenue - DOR Remittance of 1% Vehicle Fleet Fee	\$5,779	\$5,949	\$6,123
Costs - DOR Administrative Costs	(\$5,779)	(\$5,949)	(\$6,123)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION REVOLVING FUND			
Revenue - COA			
State Vehicle Fleet Fee	\$583,720	\$600,815	\$618,412
<u>Costs - DOR</u>			
Deposit to General Revenue	(\$5,779)	(\$5,949)	(\$6,123)
Costs - COA			
Fleet Information System	(\$456,348)	(\$470,038)	(\$484,140)
Software Support	(\$25,000)	(\$25,750)	(\$26,523)
Personal Service (1.25 FTE)	(\$60,726)	(\$62,244)	(\$63,800)
Fringe Benefits	(\$21,867)	(\$22,414)	(\$22,974)
Expense and Equipment	<u>(\$14,000)</u>	<u>(\$14,420)</u>	<u>(\$14,852)</u>
Total <u>Costs</u> - COA	(\$577,941)	(\$594,866)	(\$612,289)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATION REVOLVING FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

# **VARIOUS STATE FUNDS**

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032 Page 11 of 16
June 7, 2002

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2003 (10 Mo.)	FY 2004	FY 2005
Savings - All State Agencies Increased Efficiencies	\$105,000 to \$583,720	\$108,150 to \$600,815	\$111,395 to \$618,412
Costs - All State Agencies Payments to Office of Administration for Vehicle Fleet Fees	(\$105,000 to \$583,720)	(\$108,150 to \$600,815)	(\$111,395 to \$618,412)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON VARIOUS STATE FUNDS	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
STATE ROAD FUND			
Income - Commercial Driver License	\$598,545	\$718,260	\$718,260
Test Fees <u>Cost</u> - Department of Revenue and Department of Public Safety - Highway Patrol: Third-Party Commercial Driver License Program <u>Cost</u> - Department of Transportation	(\$598,545)	(\$718,260)	(\$718,260)
Establishing Safe Travel Routes Signs at Tunnel	(Unknown) (\$500)	(Unknown) \$0	(Unknown) \$0
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON STATE ROAD FUND	<u>(\$500 to</u> <u>Unknown)</u>	<u>(Unknown)</u>	(Unknown)
HIGHWAY FUND			
<u>Cost</u> - Department of Revenue (Sec. 302.130) Issuance of "Permit Driver" sticker or sign <u>Cost</u> - Department of Economic	(\$2,583)	(\$5,167)	(\$5,167)
Development Personal Service (1 FTE) Fringe Benefits Expense and Equipment Total Cost to Department of Economic Development	(\$25,277) (\$9,102) \$0 (\$34,379)	(\$31,090) (\$11,196) <u>\$0</u> (\$42,286)	(\$31,867) (\$11,475) <u>\$0</u> (\$43,342)

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032 Page 12 of 16 June 7, 2002

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2003 (10 Mo.)	FY 2004	FY 2005
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE HIGHWAY FUND	(\$36,962)	<u>(\$47,453)</u>	<u>(\$48,509)</u>
SPINAL CORD INJURY FUND			
<u>Income</u> - fees for \$2 surcharge on all criminal cases	\$0 to \$527,713	\$0 to \$703,618	\$0 to \$703,618
<u>Loss</u> - delete \$25 surcharge on DWI-related offenses.	\$0 to (\$375,000)	\$0 to (\$450,000)	\$0 to (\$450,000)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE SPINAL CORD INJURY FUND	<u>\$0 to \$152,713</u>	<u>\$0 to \$253,618</u>	<u>\$0 to \$253,618</u>
HEAD INJURY FUND			
Revenue - \$2 surcharge on all criminal cases  Cost - Head Injury Advisory Council Services to Injured	\$0 to \$527,713 (\$0 to \$527,713)	\$0 to \$703,618 (\$0 to 703,618)	\$0 to \$703,618 (\$0 to 703,618)
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE HEAD INJURY FUND	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
MOTORCYCLE SAFETY TRUST FUND			
Revenue - \$1 surcharge on criminal cases Loss - \$5 surcharge on certain cases	\$0 to \$527,713 \$0 to \$41,667	\$0 to \$703,618 \$0 to \$50,000	\$0 to \$703,618 \$0 to \$50,000
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE MOTORCYCLE SAFETY TRUST FUND	<u>\$0 to \$486,046</u>	<u>\$0 to \$653,618</u>	<u>\$0 to \$653,000</u>

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 13 of 16 June 7, 2002

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS	<u>\$199,515</u>	<u>\$238,420</u>	<u>\$238,420</u>
Counties - \$20 additional CDL fee (10%)	\$79,806	\$95,768	\$95,768
Cities - \$20 additional CDL fee (15%)	\$119,709	\$143,652	\$143,652
POLITICAL SUBDIVISIONS			
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2003 (10 Mo.)	FY 2004	FY 2005
FIGGAL DEPART 1 10	<b>TTT 0000</b>	EX. 2004	EXT. 2005

# FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

Small businesses in the earth moving business or which hire "commercial" drivers could be affected by this proposal.

#### **DESCRIPTION**

This proposal would establish a fleet management program for state agencies.

This proposal would require drivers to take certain actions, including yielding the right-of-way when possible, when an emergency vehicle is approaching.

Current Missouri law requires drivers to obey traffic-related signals and directions given by members of the Missouri Highway Patrol (Section 43.170, RSMo). Failure to follow such direction is a misdemeanor offense. This proposal would extend the requirement to directions given by sheriffs and deputy sheriffs.

This proposal would create the "Head Injury Fund" for use by the Missouri Head Injury Advisory Council. A new section 304.028, RSMo, would establish the Fund for the receipt of judgments, grants, private donations, and other moneys. Funds would be used for the integration of medical, social, and educational services and for outreach to individuals with traumatic head injury and their families. Unexpended balances would not transfer to general revenue. It would also add a \$2.00 surcharge for violations of any county ordinance or state criminal or traffic law. The surcharge would be deposited into the Head Injury Fund.

This proposal would modify the language on the Spinal Cord Injury Fund. Instead of a \$25 fee for every intoxicated related offense, a \$2 surcharge would be assessed on every violation of criminal or traffic offense. The money would be deposited in the Spinal Cord Injury Fund.

This proposal would modify financing of the Motorcycle Safety Trust Fund. Instead of a \$5 surcharge for certain offenses, a \$1 surcharge would be assessed on every violation of criminal or <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/journal.org/10.1001/jour

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 14 of 16 June 7, 2002

traffic offense. The money would be deposited in the Motorcycle Safety Trust Fund.

The proposal would also:

modify the mental state required of a person who fails to comply with an lawful order of a police officer or fire department official from willfully to knowingly;

include blue flashing lights for authorized emergency vehicles;

remove the requirement that the motorman of a streetcar stop the streetcar upon the approach of an authorized emergency vehicle;

remove the provision of law regarding written accident reports;

remove the exclusion that written accident reports shall not be used as evidence in a court proceeding;

expand the rule that a driver shall not follow an emergency vehicle closer than 500 feet. The current restriction only applies to fire engines;

remove a provision of law regarding when police officers are authorized to remove motor vehicles;

require the Director of the Department of Revenue to issues stickers or signs which bear

the words "PERMIT DRIVER" to permit drivers. The sticker or sign may be affixed to the rear window of the motor vehicle by the permit driver.

add resisting or interfering with a detention or stop to the current crime of resisting or interfering with arrest;

create the presumption that a person is fleeing a vehicle stop if the person continues to operate a motor vehicle after seeing emergency lights or hearing a siren from the law enforcement vehicle that is pursuing the person; and

make resisting or interfering with an arrest, detention, or stop a class D felony.

This proposal would exempt vehicles hauling lumber and earth-moving equipment, not in excess of fourteen feet in width, from the requirement of obtaining special permits issued upon proper application that allow movement along state and federal highways.

**DESCRIPTION** (continued)

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 15 of 16 June 7, 2002

This proposal would allow electric personal assistance mobility devices to be operated upon any street, highway, sidewalk, or bicycle path. Any person operating a device will be treated and granted the same rights as a pedestrian.

The proposal would increase the \$5 fee for Commercial Driver License testing to \$25. Additional funds would be used to fund a Third-Party Commercial Driver License Examination Program in the Department of Revenue. Highway Fund monies could be appropriated to the Department of Revenue and the Department of Public Safety - Highway Patrol to cover costs of the Program.

It would also allow the Department of Economic Development's Division of Motor Carriers and Railway Safety to issue skill performance evaluation certificates to persons not physically qualified to drive under federal regulations so that those persons could perform intrastate transportation.

The proposed legislation would amend the right-of-way statute by imposing additional fines and driver's license suspensions when the violation results in physical injury, serious physical injury, or death to any person.

This proposal would abolish the requirement that highway administrators in first classification counties be Missouri residents.

The fleet management provisions (sections 37.450, 37.452 and 307.402) contain an emergency clause.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space. This proposal would affect Total State Revenue.

#### SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Transportation
Department of Public Safety - Missouri Highway Patrol
Office of the State Courts Administrator
Office of the State Public Defender
Office of Prosecution Services
Department of Corrections
State Treasurer's Office
Department of Revenue
Office of Administration
Department of Health and Senior Services
SOURCES OF INFORMATION (continued)

Department of Economic Development - Division of Motor Carriers and Railway Safety

Bill No. Truly Agreed To And Finally Passed CCS No. 2 for SS for SCS for HB's 1270 & 2032

Page 16 of 16 June 7, 2002

> Mickey Wilson, CPA Acting Director June 7, 2002